COP-21: Towards New Paradigm of the International Energy Development? And Its Possible Effects for Russian Oil & Gas

#### Prof. Dr. Andrey A. Konoplyanik,

Adviser to Director General, Gazprom export LLC; Professor, Chair "International Oil & Gas Business", Russian State Gubkin Oil & Gas University; Co-Chair, Work Stream 2 "Internal Markets", Russia-EU Gas Advisory Council & Coordinator (Rus.side), Russia-EU Informal Consultations on EU Regulatory issues

Presentation at Gazprom Business Club meeting, 15 September 2016, Gazprom's Corporate Institute, Moscow, Russia

## What is COP-21 & what it's future role?

- COP-21 the Paris agreement within UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, was prepared within climate conference in Paris,
- regulates the measures on diminishing CO2 emissions post-2020,
- adopted by consensus on 12 December 2015,
- signed on 22 April 2016,
- 179 signatory states, account for 95% of emissions
- From my view: Major factor of uncertainty in international oil & gas, possibly new paradigm of the international energy development

Political economy of energy: factors of production, interfactors' competition, & Scientific & Technological Progress (STP) in energy – & current competitive niche for Russia



# **COP-21 & New Limits to Growth**

- IEA (2012): to limit global warming by 2°C without large-scale implementation of carbon capture & storage (CCS) = not be able to consume (\*) MORE THAN ONE THIRD of global proven recoverable reserves (PRR) of hydrocarbons (HC) up to 2050
- OR: cumulative future CO2 emissions from *current* PRR HC volumes are **THREE TIMES HIGHER** than the upper limits of such emissions which are agreed upon in Paris bearing in mind sustainable global development.
- IEA: 2/3 of such potential emissions will come from coal, 22% from oil and products, and 15% from gas.

(\*) through technological chains from production to end-use of each fossil fuel (coal, petroleum products, gas) in each energy/non-energy use of energy resources

### **COP-21 & New Paradigm of Energy Development (1)**

- PAST: possible, though in a rather distant future (at least post 2 global invest cycles), if any at all, supply side limitations due to dominant nonrenewable character of energy resource base =>
  - "Hubbert's curve" (1949) => bell-type production curve for non-renewable resource extraction => "peak oil" theory,
  - "Hotelling rule" (1931) => the future value of fossil fuel in-situ increases by the value of the current interest rate within the time-frame,
  - Both theories did not consider possible demand-side limitations,
  - Both works for increasing future cost & value of in-situ non-renewable energy resource within time-frame, at least during post-"Chevalier's breaking point" period (since early 1970-ies) k. Gazprom Business Club. 5

# Evolution of international oil & gas markets: from less to more competitive environment (economic interpretation of



(\*) 1<sup>st</sup> invest cycle = today's commercial technologies which shall pay back full CAPEX in their RD&D & commercial utilization before they will be substituted by new technologies of the new invest cycle which today stays at RD&D stage and thus predetermines this 2<sup>nd</sup> invest cycle

Impact of revolutionary and evolutionary STP on changing exploration and production (E&P) costs for conventional hydrocarbons in the period of growing marginal costs (after 'Chevalier's breaking point', late 1960-ies/early 1970-ies)

**Evolutionary STP** slows down the growth of marginal E&P costs thus neutralising/diminishing negative effects of the natural forces' factor

**Revolutionary STP** overcomes (overweighs) negative effects of the natural forces' factor which leads to a (temporary) reduction of marginal and average E&P costs

'Chevalier's breaking point': late 1960-ies /early 1970-ies (J.M.Chevalier, 1972)

US dollars/tonnes of coal equivalent

barrels of oil equivalent)

### **COP-21 & New Paradigm of Energy Development (2)**

- COP-21might radically change paradigm of future energy development !!!
- <u>FUTURE</u>: possible limitations on the demand side of global energy induced by the climatic-based restrictions on emissions (COP-21) - ???:
  - not all today's CPRR might be demanded by global economy
  - decreasing (NOT increasing) value of oil in place due to its staying potentially unclaimed (an opposite to Hotelling rule)
  - stimuli for quicker extraction and utilization of the current PRR HC
  - this will accelerate expectations of the "cheap oil" era ("cheap" means not because of decreasing production costs but because of diminishing price that the society will be ready to pay for it)
  - future possible oversupply artificially created by climate change agenda ???

## US shale oil & COP-21 influence on global oil supply curve (order of the figures): consequences for Russia



### Zones of LNG competitiveness in Asia indexed to JCC and Henry Hub



 Oil price that sets LNG prices equal if indexed to JCC and Henry Hub (LNG contracts coefficient 13%-16%)
 Zone of LNG competitiveness linked to JCC indexation

- With the oil price of \$2/MMBTU at Henry Hub (*minimum value: April* 2012, beginning of 2016), oilindexed LNG will be competitive in Asia if JCC price < \$50/barrel (*at present*)
- With the oil price of \$6/MMBTU (*maximum value: beginning of* 2014), oil-indexed LNG will be competitive in Asia if JCC price < \$80/barrel (*mid 2010 – end of* 2014)
- With JCC price above \$100/barrel, US LNG becomes competitive if Henry Hub price exceeds \$6/MMBTU, BUT WHETHER OIL PRICES LIKELY TO RETURN TO \$100/BBL AND ABOVE?

Sources: A. Konoplyanik, J.Sung, LNG Russia 2016, Moscow, 16-18.03.2016

A.Konoplyanik, Gazprom Business Club, 19.09.2016

# US LNG prices compared against Russian pipeline gas in Europe



### European Gas Prices vs. Marginal Cost of US LNG

Source: The Oxford Institute for Energy Studies



European gas prices below long run marginal cost of US LNG, limiting appetite for new investments into LNG projects. Taken from:

Andreas Rau, CEONET4GA S, s.r.o. The Current Enviro nment for Gas Infrastructu re Investment. // Central E uropean Gas Congress, Bra tislava, April 27, 2016 <u>Original source:</u> James Henderson. Gazprom – Is 2016 the Year for a Change of Pricing Strategy in Europe? – OIES, OXFORD ENERGY COMMENT, January 2016, p. 7 (fig. 3).

VET4GAS

# **US LNG export model**



obligatory payment

& LNG exporter

# The cost of US LNG versus European Gas prices (acc. to Henderson & Mitrova)



Sources: Cheniere Energy, Energy Intelligence, Gazprom (n.b. oil-linked contract calculated at an oil price of \$65/barrel)

Source: James Henderson & Tatiana Mitrova. The Political and Commercial Dynamics of Russia's Gas Export Strategy. - OIES PAPER: NG 102, September 2015, p. 44

## **COP-21 & fight against Russian gas**

- US LNG (LRMC = CAPEX+OPEX) is less competitive to Russian pipeline gas in the EU & competitive there only by SRMC (OPEX) (Henderson)
  - but this does not decrease the increasing "debt bubble" of US shale gas producers the resource base for US LNG export
- Aim: to get rid of the rival within the narrowing demand niche for gas (if COP-21-originated demand restrictions) => to present in different Western "studies" RUSSIAN GAS AS IF MORE DIRTY than other gases (both pipeline & LNG) &/or other fossil fuels &/or RES, like, inter alia (\*):
  - US Dep't of Energy on long-term GHG perspective on exporting LNG from the US as of May, 29 2014 (long-term GHG perspectives for NG)
  - Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) Study as of March 2016 (argues the results of the above & official estimates of the US Environment Protection Agency)
  - PÖYRY Study as of June 2016 (coal vs NG)
  - EXERGIA/COWI for DG ENER, "Study on Actual GHG Data for Diesel, Petrol, Kerosene, and Natural Gas", July 2015 (to provide information about the lifecycle GHG emissions of fossil fuels used in transport)
- NB1: Current thesis of as if "more dirty" Russian gas is additive to post-2009 thesis of Russia as if "non-reliable" source of gas
  - substitution of notions: "non-reliable source" vs "non-reliable transit route from the source" to the market
- NB2: The Trans-Atlantic fight against NordStream-2 seems to be of the same origin

   (\*) Source: D. Leonov, N. Sudarev. COP-21 – role of NG in Decarbonization and Sustainability of EU economy.; K. Romanov. The Role of Natural Gas In Decarbonization and Sustainability.// Russia-EU Gas Advisory Council, Work Stream 2 "Internal Markets" meeting, Vienna, E-Control, 01 July 2016

#### And in the end... Whether the whole concept of man's impact on climate change is right/justified?

Specialists in solar activity are well aware of the climate change 178Y cycle ! ПРОСТЫЕ ОЦЕНКИ:



ВыВОД: Мнение Президиума РАН, высказанное по запросу Президента России, о пОЛНОМ ОТСУТСТВИИ НАУЧНОГО ОБОСНОВАНИЯ доктрины глобального потепления убедительно подтверждается на уровне

элементарных оценок



За 178 лет Юпитер сделает 15.01 полного оборота вокруг Солнца, Сатурн – 6.04, Уран -2.12, а Нептун – 1.08.

Sources: *Крученицкий Г.М.* Климатическая доктрина РФ и защита национальных интересов России. НЕУСТРАНИМЫЕ ПРОТИВОРЕЧИЯ; он же. Презентация на Круглом столе «Риски реализации Парижского климатического соглашения для экономики и национальной безопасности России». Аналитический центр при правительстве РФ, 19.07.2016; Крученицкий Г.М., Матвиенко Г.Г. Физические причины долговременной изменчивости глобальной температуры. "Оптика атмосферы и океана", в печати.

# Thank you for your attention!

www.konoplyanik.ru andrey@konoplyanik.ru a.konoplyanik@gazpromexport.com

**Disclaimer:** Views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily reflect (may/should reflect) and/or coincide (may/should be consistent) with official position of Gazprom Group (incl. Gazprom JSC and/or Gazprom export LLC), its stockholders and/or its/their affiliated persons, and are within full personal responsibility of the author of this presentation.